

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,347	o	7/12/2001	Hitoshi Sakashita	101621-4	1552
27387	7590	07/28/2003			
BRUCE LO		:	EXAMINER		
220 EAST 4	2ND STRI	LIN & MARCUS, EET, 30TH FLOOI	RESAN, STEVAN A		
NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003



<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	_	09/904,347	SAKASHITA, HITOSHI					
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
		Stevan A. Resan	1773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 121	<u>May 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-6</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2)  Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 8					

Art Unit: 1773

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Newly amended claims 5 and 6 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Original claims 1-6 were classified in class 428/692+ (Claims 5 and 6 being considered nominal method claims) while presently amended claims 5 and 6 are directed to a process of use classified in Class 324/200+

- 3. Claims 1,2,4 and Claims 5,6 are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product may be used in a materially different process such as a printing process.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 5 and 6 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,347

Art Unit: 1773

These claims may be rejoined upon allowance of an article claim and a request by applicants.

5. Claims 1,2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akihiko et al (JP Appl# 08-175926; Publication# JP 09-297917) in view of Tooth US 4183989 and or Bratchley US 6155605.

Akihiko et al disclose a forgery preventive identification medium comprising a substrate and magnetic layer for magnetic signal recording, formed at a first portion of the substrate wherein the magnetic layer contains at least a MnBi magnetic powder.

Akihiko et al do not disclose that the substrate contains randomly arranged identification elements which are selected from a Markush group consisting of metal fibers, metal covered synthetic fibers, metal covered glass fibers and colored fibers.

However, Tooth teaches security papers which may contain randomly arranged identification elements including metal fibers or metal coated fibers (Col 3 line 64-Col 4 line 24; Col 5 lines 3-6, 12-18) and Bratchley et al disclose the use of randomly arranged identification elements including metal fibers in a security medium. (Col 4 line 60). Both Tooth and Bratchley also teachthe use of multiple security features.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a security paper having identification elements such as colored fibers (as in US currency) or metal fibers as taught by Tooth and Bratchley et al as the substrate of Akihiko et al (e.g. for cards or tickets) in order to enhance security by the use of multiple security elements.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,347

Art Unit: 1773

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 7. This application contains claims 5,6 drawn to an invention nonelected by original presentation. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stevan A. Resan whose telephone number is (703) 308-4287. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fri from 7:30AM to 6:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718

STEVAN A. REŠAN PRIMARY EXAMINER